



## **Benchmarking and testing the “Sea Level Equation” the COST ES0701 experience**

**Spada, G.; Barletta, Valentina Roberta; Klemann, V.; van der Wal, W.; James, T. S.; Simon, K.; Riva, R. E. M.; Martinec, Z.; Gasperini, P.; Lund, B.**

*Total number of authors:*  
13

*Published in:*  
Geophysical Research Abstracts

*Publication date:*  
2012

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Spada, G., Barletta, V. R., Klemann, V., van der Wal, W., James, T. S., Simon, K., Riva, R. E. M., Martinec, Z., Gasperini, P., Lund, B., Wolf, D., Vermeersen, L. L. A., & King, M. A. (2012). Benchmarking and testing the “Sea Level Equation”: the COST ES0701 experience. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 14, EGU2012-9773.

---

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## **Benchmarking and testing the “Sea Level Equation”: the COST ES0701 experience**

G. Spada (1), V. R. Barletta (2), V. Klemann (3), W. van der Wal (4), T.S. James (5), K. Simon (5), R.E.M. Riva (4), Z. Martinec (6), P. Gasperini (7), B. Lund (8), D. Wolf (3), L.L.A. Vermeersen (4), and M.A. King (9)

(1) Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Fondamenti, Urbino University, Urbino, Italy, (2) DTU Space, Copenhagen, Denmark (v.r.barletta@gmail.com), (3) GFZ, Earth System Modelling, Potsdam, Germany, (4) TU Delft, DEOS, Delft, The Netherlands, (5) Geological Survey of Canada, Sidney, British Columbia, Canada, (6) Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, Dublin, Ireland, (7) Dipartimento di Fisica, Settore Geofisica, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, (8) Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, (9) School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

The study of the process of Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) and of the consequent sea level variations is gaining an increasingly important role within the geophysical community. Understanding the response of the Earth to the waxing and waning ice sheets is crucial in various contexts, ranging from the interpretation of modern satellite geodetic measurements to the projections of future sea level trends in response to climate change. All the processes accompanying GIA can be described solving the so-called Sea Level Equation (SLE), an integral equation that accounts for the interactions between the ice sheets, the solid Earth, and the oceans. Modern approaches to the SLE are based on various techniques that range from purely analytical formulations to fully numerical methods. Despite various teams independently investigating GIA, we do not have a suitably large set of agreed numerical results through which the methods may be validated. Following the example of the mantle convection community and our recent successful Benchmark for Post Glacial Rebound codes (Spada et al., 2011, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04952.x), here we present the results of a benchmark study of independently developed codes designed to solve the SLE. This study has taken place within a collaboration facilitated through the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) Action ES0701. The tests involve predictions of past and current sea level variations, and 3D deformations of the Earth surface. In spite of the significant differences in the numerical methods employed, the test computations performed so far show a satisfactory agreement between the results provided by the participants. The differences found, which can be often attributed to the different numerical algorithms employed within the community, help to constrain the intrinsic errors in model predictions. These are of fundamental importance for a correct interpretation of the geodetic variations observed today, and particularly for the evaluation of climate-driven sea level variations.